CECODHAS

"Future Delivery Pathways for Retrofitting in Ireland"

or

Retrofitting in Ireland

Jay Stuart RIBA

DWEcoCo: Sustainable Design Consultants

Ecofix Ltd

VRM Technology Ltd.







Health*

- 1. Improved Quality of Life: indoor air quality, thermal comfort
- 2. Improved comfort, happiness, well-being
- 3. Improved learning capacity
- 4. Increased productivity at work
- 5. Reduced lost income due to illness
- 6. Reduced sick benefits costs for employers
- 7. Reduced absenteeism in work and education
- 8. Reduced National Health Service costs
- 9. Reduced personal medical costs
- 10. Reduced fuel poverty
- 11. Reduced stress due to ability to pay reduced energy bills
- 12. Reduced winter fuel payments to elderly and disadvantaged in cold weather
- 13. Reduced 'excess winter deaths' due to underheated dwellings

*CECODHAS estimates €0.42 savings in health costs for every €1 energy saved

Economic

- 14. Reduced maintenance costs w reduced condensation, damp and mould
- 15. Reduced insurance costs due to insulated pipes (no burst, frozen pipes)
- 16. Increased property values supported by better Building Energy Rating
- 17. Job creation in the retrofit industry
- 18. Reduction in social benefit costs
- 20. Increased business activity in EE and RE materials, products and services
- 21. Developing exportable skills, services and products
- 22. Increased economic output (GDP)

<u>Policy</u>

- 23. Environmental policies and targets: CO₂ emissions reduction
- 24. Addresses and reduces fuel poverty
- 25. Reducing unemployment
- 26. Deep retrofit more efficient and less cost than phased retrofitting
- 27. Reduced cost of heating own buildings
- 28. "Doing it now is cheaper than doing it in the future."

[UK Stern Report]

NEB = 2.4 x energy savings^{*}

Tax revenue from retrofitting means government funding is cost neutral at ≈ 25% of the costs.

* Reinaud, J. (2012), IEA Workshop: "Evaluating the multiple benefits of EE"

25 years of Retrofitting in Ireland

1988: Energy Action

Charity providing service to elderly and disadvantaged

2009: "Home Energy Saving"

- Level of grants calculated to be cost neutral to govt.
- 221,000 homes retrofitted with grant support ≈ 25%

2011: "Better Energy Homes"

Grant levels reduced and adjusted

2013: Code of Practice for Retrofitting

under development

2014: "Better Energy Finance"

Scheme proposal to Minister in September 2013





Future of Retrofitting in Ireland

Irish Government wants retrofitting to be privately funded.

Not good: 25% funding is cost neutral. By not funding it they are taxing it.

Currently developing a scheme largely based on the UK's Green Deal with:

Good: flexible, ambitious approach to developing a new industry

- 1. Loans attached to **electricity meters**. Energy company **obligations**.
 - Good: overcomes split incentive problem for HA's; source of finance.
- 2. Repayments collected by electricity utility and paid to financing organisation.
 - **Risk:** if savings not as predicted then non-payment of electricity bill can lead to disconnection and higher finance costs.
- 3. Use existing national energy rating tool to assess dwellings for measures and savings with additional Review and Assessment procedures.
 - **Not great:** existing software for **asset rating**, not **operational rating** and it overestimates the savings. At least this is recognised **now**.

Issues

The interesting issues being discussed in Ireland now are:

- 1. *Gap* between the <u>predicted</u> and <u>actual</u> energy savings of retrofitting.
- 2. Impact of people's behaviour and how to account for this in energy estimates.
- 3. Need a retrofit financing scheme which:
 - a) doesn't accidentally push people into debt
 - b) reduces the risk for financial institutions.

Not enough actual energy use information.

A Solution

A solution would be **regional databases** of *ex-post facto* **monitored energy use** data.

Detailed energy use data can be collected using wireless heating and electricity control monitors.

[€800 for 3 bed house with possible energy savings of 50%]









Statistical analysis of database would provide accurate estimates of energy use.

[Methodology exists for commercial buildings]

Conclusion

The retrofit industry is in its **early stages** of development.

Retrofitting is **not happening** at sufficient scale to meet targets.

People use energy not buildings

NEB's worth 2.4 x energy savings

Govt. funding at 25% is cost neutral

Accurate detailed energy use data still needed

Thank you for your attention.